

Mr T J Line

Hilperton
Trowbridge
Wilts

Awdry, Bailey & Douglas Solicitors
33 St John's Street
Devizes
Wilts
SN10 1BW

14 Sept 2010

Dear Mr Douglas,

Re: PROPOSED ACTION: (1) ADRIAN BRESSINGTON (2) AWDRY, BAILEY & DOUGLAS v (1) TIMOTHY LINE (2) RICK KORDOWSKI

Thank you for your letter dated 3rd September 2010.

While I admire the loyalty that you show towards your employee Mr Bressington, you are erroneous, when you attempt to tell me that I am '*well aware, there is no truth whatsoever in any of these allegations*'. I would not have made the allegations if there was no truth in them.

As you rightly point out, my allegations are directed at Mr Bressington, but he is one of your employee's, all of his letters carry your firm's name and the way he conducts himself is clearly considered to be acceptable behaviour by you. I have been told by third parties that a number of complaints about his conduct have been made to the Law Society in the past with little or no action.

I considered making a complaint to them myself, but it would appear that unless the solicitor you are complaining about was instructed by the complainant themselves, they don't seem interested regardless of the seriousness.

You have pointed out that my allegations relate to the very core of Mr Bressington's integrity and this would be correct and although I somehow doubt that this man is going through any great personal distress, especially after only having been made aware of this website in the 24 hours preceding your letter to me. However, I am sure that his distress pales into insignificance, when compared to the pain I have suffered at his hands since 7th May 2006.

I am not going to go into every instance of your employee's disgraceful behaviour and unprofessional conduct in this letter as this would take some considerable time to collate, but I found out the depths that he was prepared to stoop to within the first few days of coming into contact with him.

On the evening of Sunday 7th May 2006 I was served with a summons on my doorstep. My wife and children were at home. I read the contents with disbelief. Most of the 20 odd paragraphs were bare faced lies and the remainder were only half the story. The contents of

the package also contained a Non-molestation order. It was with even more disbelief that I discovered that we were to be in court in 2 days' time (on my birthday). I remember asking my wife how long she had known about this and that surely she did not expect the court to throw me out of my house, when I had done nothing wrong and without any realistic chance of obtaining representation for myself. I said it was quite likely her solicitor knew this also, but doing it this way, would ensure that he got another day in court and therefore more in fees from her'.

I then asked her how long she had known about this. She refused to answer and instead phoned your Mr Bressington so that he could speak to me.

One of the first things he said to me was, "The best piece of advice I can give you Mr Line, is find somewhere else to live by Tuesday". I told him not to be so ridiculous. I then told him that most of my wife's statement is fiction and lies, to which he replied, "Well if most of it is lies, some of it must be true". This acceptance that only some of something has to be true for it to be so would appear to be the standard of acceptability that Mr Bressington works to.

Then while we were both still talking on the phone, my wife suddenly decided she wanted the phone back and tried to wrench the phone out of my hand. While doing so, her arm crushed my lip into my teeth, causing it to bleed. I told Mr Bressington what she had just done and he immediately said, 'That's it, I'm calling the Police', and the line went dead.

About 30 minutes later I got a bizarre phone call from Gloucestershire police who were somewhat confused that they had received a 999 call to a house in Wiltshire. I told them what Bressington had done, that there was no real need for anyone to attend, as there was nothing actually happening, but if they would like to get the local police to attend I would be a lot happier.

WPC Deuchars eventually attended from Devizes Police. On her arrival, I was in the back garden smoking. I decided to let my wife speak to her first before going inside and speaking to her myself. I told her about my wife's assault on me and she made my wife admit that her behaviour had been completely unreasonable. She also told her that she could not expect me to receive a summons like that on the doorstep and not want to ask questions about it and made her admit that my questions to her had not been unreasonable.

The account that Mr Bressington then gave to the court 2 days later was not only inaccurate and contained information which he KNEW to be untrue but was intended to paint a completely false picture of me. Perhaps you solicitors have a different word or words for behaviour like this, but to me they are simply lies. I also thought that lies told in court constituted perjury, an offence that can be punished by a jail sentence.

In his account to the court he stated the following:

"Within 20 minutes of Mr Line receiving the non-molestation order, he had already broken it and the police had to be called".

This is a bare-faced lie. The order had not been broken, unless me asking my wife a question constitutes 'molestation' and the police were called by Bressington himself when I told him that my wife had just assaulted me! He preferred not to mention the assault or the fact that it was he who had made the 999 call.

He then looked at a piece of paper and appeared to be reading from a police report. He said, "WPC Deuchars of Devizes police attended and on arrival at the house heard raised voices".

This was another bare-faced lie and I was incensed that he had the audacity to present his drivel as fact to the judge in this way.

As soon as I got an opportunity, I stood up and told the judge that most of what Bressington had just told him was untrue and explained my version of events.

While I was doing so, Mr Bressington muttered at me, "it's not lies, I've got proof", and handed me the piece of paper that he had been reading from earlier. Before I could read anything, he quickly snatched it back. The judge listened, but then said something along the lines of, "Mr Line, remember this is not a criminal court". I'm not sure what this was supposed to mean other than untruths in the Family Court are acceptable?

I was furious that a member of the police (WPC Deuchars) would lie and so as soon as I came out of the court, I phoned Deizes Police to speak to her about it. She was off duty, but several days later she telephoned me. When I told her of the account Bressington had told the court of her visit, she said, "Why would I say that when it didn't happen?" I asked if I could get a copy of her report and she advised me of how to apply for 'Subject Access Information'. I was surprised it would take me 40 days and yet Bressington had 'apparently' been able to get a copy within 48 hours? Or was that a lie too? And if he did have a copy, how come he came to get information about me so quickly?

When I eventually received the information, WPC Deuchars account was correct and there was no mention of 'raised voices' as Mr Bressington testified in court.

When I discussed with my wife that he had lied in court and that she knew it, she replied, "You know what these solicitors are like".

Mr Douglas, if you think that this kind of behaviour is a good example of professional probity, then I would suggest that you have been misguided by your employee.

I am not the only one who has experienced this kind of behaviour either and I am currently in contact with 2 others who have also indicated a desire not to let him get away with it.

I have lost most of what I had, both financially and my girls thanks in part to this man and don't really have much more to lose. I am only interested in justice and exposing him for what he really is. I want him brought to book. I am not financially motivated, although a few of my advisors think that I have a good counter claim against him for the extra costs I have incurred thanks to him over the last 4 years and the lies that he told Judge Rutherford face to face concerning the Danish property you are already aware of.

Please also note that I have replied in an expeditious manner to your letter and not in the unprofessional manner which I have come to expect from Awdry, Bailey and Douglas throughout the last 4 years. Many times we have had to write multiple letters and still haven't received a response, including on occasion when there has been a court imposed deadline.

I am currently not taking formal legal advice simply because I cannot afford to, but I am taking general advice from friends and others with an interest in this matter.

As for your 3 demands from me, I would have thought it obvious that I am not going to just roll over and comply because of your threatening letter, although I would love to see a breakdown of your 'current estimated costs' after only 1 day. Your proposed action is therefore welcomed as this will finally give me the opportunity to challenge Mr Bressington in court, where he will presumably be under oath to tell the truth about both his lies and general conduct throughout the time that I have had dealings with him.

Mr Bressington wrote a letter to me dated 8th September 2010 and I will not be responding directly to that letter, however I will point out that even in that letter Bressington demonstrates his apparent inability to represent anything (despite it being in black and white) in a truthful and accurate manner.

He twice refers to a telephone conversation which never took place.

In Para 2 - "...Mr Kordowski has accurately represented your telephone conversation."

In Para 3 - "If Mr Kordowski represents your telephone conversation accurately..."

I don't know where he gets the idea from that I have ever had a telephone conversation with Mr Kordowski, but it was not from either Mr Kordowski's letter or from me and is therefore yet another complete fabrication or misrepresentation on Bressington's part.

As regards the offer that Mr Kordowski made to you, I had no knowledge of this until Bressington's letter to me, but I believe the offer is something that he offers as standard on his website.

If you let me know in the future that Awdry, Bailey and Douglas no longer employ the services of Mr Bressington, I will of course immediately have any references to Awdry, Bailey and Douglas removed from the site.

Yours sincerely,

Tim Line